

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Par nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/506,741 02/18/00 LOBANOV 1503.0730000 **EXAMINER** HM12/0627 Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox PLLC MARSCHEL . A 1100 New York Avenue NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 600 Washington DC 20005-3934 1631 DATE MAILED: 06/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/506,741

Applicar. (3)

Lobanov et al.

Examiner

Ardin Marschel

Art Unit 1631

 Th MAILING DATE of this communication app 	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, 	tion.
be considered timely.	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st	atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ix parte Quay№35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-48</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6)	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗓 Claims <u>1-48</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a pproved b) disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents had 	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application No
application from the International Bur	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 0.5.0. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)

1631

ir L

The art unit designated for this application has changed.

Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A: Random reagent selection (e.g. claim 4)

- claims 1-4 and 25-28
- Specie B: Reagent selection for uniform reagent space coverage (e.g. claim 5)
 - claims 1-3, 5, 25-27, and 29
- Specie C: Reagent selection equal number of times in reagent space (e.g. claim 6)
 - claims 1-3, 6, 25-27, and 30
 - Specie D: Reagent selection based on a fitness function (e.g. claim 7)
 - claims 1-3, 7-27, and 31-48

Each of the above species are distinct in that they are drawn to selecting reagents within the instant methods via criteria which neither depend on each other or are overlapping.

Thus, the search for any of them together would be different which documents the undue search burden if any were searched with any other of the species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

Serial No. 09/506,741

Art Unit: 1631

held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 and 25-27 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR § 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R.

Serial No. 09/506,741 - 4 - Art Unit: 1631

§ 1.17(h).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

June 25, 2001

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER